UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

HONORABLE DENISE PAGE HOOD

V.

No. 21-20264

YLLI DIDANI,

Defendant.

HEARING ON MOTION TO SCHEDULE A TRIAL DATE AND TO EXCLUDE PERIODS OF DELAY IN DETERMINING SPEEDY TRIAL DEADLINE

Friday, February 11, 2022

Appearances:

On behalf of Plaintiff: On behalf of Defendant:

Wade Fink Wade Fink Law, P.C.

550 W. Merrill Street, #100

Birmingham, Michigan 48009

Detroit, Michigan 48226 (248) 712-1054wade@wadefinklaw.com

Mark Bilkovic Timothy P. McDonald (313) 226-9100 mark.bilkovic@usdoj.gov timothy.mcdonald@usdoj.gov

To obtain a certified transcript, contact: Sheri K. Ward, Official Court Reporter Theodore Levin United States Courthouse 231 West Lafayette Boulevard Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313)234-2604 · sheri ward@mied.uscourts.gov

Transcript produced using machine shorthand and CAT software.

## INDEX

Motion Hearing	<u>Page</u>
Argument by Mr. Bilkovic	4
Argument by Mr. Fink	11
Argument by Mr. Bilkovic	17
Argument by Mr. Fink	18
Argument by Mr. Bilkovic	18
Argument by Mr. Fink	19
Certification of Reporter	25

## EXHIBITS

Number Description Id'd Royd Vol.

\*\*\*None Marked, Offered or Received\*\*\*

Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022 Page 3 1 Detroit, Michigan 2 Friday, February 11, 2022 3 3:53 p.m. 4 5 (Call to order of the Court.) 6 **THE CLERK:** The court calls Case Number 21-20264, 7 United States of America v. Ylli Didani. 8 Appearances, please. 9 MR. BILKOVIC: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 10 Mark Bilkovic and Timothy McDonald on behalf of the 11 United States. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 13 MR. FINK: Good afternoon, Judge. Wade Fink on 14 behalf of Ylli Didani, who is present with me at the defense 15 table. 16 THE COURT: Good afternoon to both of you as well. 17 We are here on the Government's Motion to Schedule a Trial 18 Date and to Exclude Periods of Delay in Determining Speedy 19 Trial Deadline, and I also have under advisement the motion 20 from before, which was a motion for a bill of particulars, and 21 I'll rule on that as soon as I can. Okay? 22 Okay. Government. 23 MR. BILKOVIC: Thank you, Your Honor, and if I'm 24 talking too fast or not clear enough, please let me know. 25 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 21-20264; U.S.A. v. Ylli Didani

Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022

Page 4

MR. BILKOVIC: I'm sorry, I was letting the -- if I'm talking too fast, just please let me know.

THE COURT: Well, Ms. Ward will tell you if you're going too fast, but we just need you to speak very loudly, okay?

MR. BILKOVIC: Your Honor, the government filed this motion requesting that the Court set a trial date and enter an order finding certain periods delay under the Speedy Trial Act. For the reasons that I have outlined in the motion and that I will be getting into today, the government is requesting that the Court set a trial date in November of 2022.

Just by the way of a brief timeline, Mr. Didani was arraigned on the indictment on April 28, 2021. On May 7, 2021, his counsel filed the motion for a bill of particulars, which is pending. The defense, I believe, and Mr. Fink will correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe that the defense agrees with the government that as a result of that motion the period of time from May 7, 2021, to August 20 of 2021 should be excluded in calculating the time that the government must bring the defendant to trial.

Similarly, on November 10, 2021, one of Mr. Didani's attorneys filed a motion to withdraw as counsel, and as a result of that, I believe that Mr. Fink will agree that the period of time from November 10, 2021, to December 14, 2021, should also be excluded, which leaves us with basically

Page 5

three contested periods of delay:

The period from April 28, 2021, when Mr. Didani was indicted, up until May 7, 2021, the day that the first motion was filed; the second period of delay is from August 21, 2021, up until November 10, 2021, when the motion to withdraw was filed; and then the third period of delay is from December 15, 2021, up until when the Court schedules a trial date.

There are several reasons that I outlined in my motion and one additional reason that I'm going to touch on that I believe — the government believes would require the Court to enter an order excluding periods of delay. Two of them are not ends of justice findings.

The first that does not require an ends of justice finding is a provision in the Speedy Trial Act, specifically

18 United States Code 3161(h)(8) and 18 United States Code

Section 3292. Those provisions in tandem allow the government — allow a period of excludable delay for up to one year when the government makes an official request to receive evidence that is being held in a foreign country.

In this case, and I outlined it in my brief, there was evidence that the French government seized that we found out about in May of 2021 that involved Sky -- an encrypted application called Sky ECC that Mr. Didani and other coconspirators were utilizing to carry on a drug-trafficking conspiracy.

Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022

Page 6

Ultimately the government made an official request for that information in August of 2021. So it is the government's belief -- specifically August 19, 2021. It is the government's belief that the government is entitled to a one-year period of excludable delay from the time that official request was made on August 19, 2021.

I was not sure if we were going to have a hearing that involved testimony, and so in my supplemental response that I filed I did indicate that the government will file a declaration in support of its request to exclude that time. I would ask, if the Court is going to take this motion under advisement, I would ask for a seven-day period to be able to submit that declaration to the Court so the Court can see the timeline as to what the government did when it found out about that information up until submitting -- having basically Washington, D.C., submit that request to the French government.

I will indicate to the Court, and it goes into part of the reason that I believe that this case should not be set for trial until November, we did in the last couple of weeks receive some of that information from the French government. It was transmitted to the DEA, Drug Enforcement Agency, on February 1, 2022. It was then transmitted to our office on February 10, 2022, and we are in the process of going through that information and will be turning that information over to Mr. Fink in the next two weeks.

Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022

Page 7

We have advised him, as this has been going on, that we anticipate that's going to happen, but I can let the Court know that just that material alone -- and there still is outstanding material. Basically, the Sky EEC, they divide it up based on a PIN, a personal identification number, that a person uses to set up an account. We identified over 20 PINs that were associated to Mr. Didani. We have received information back on two of those PINs and four additional PINs that relate to coconspirators.

Just going through one of those PINs, we have discovered over 90,000 messages and hundreds and hundreds, if not thousands, of photographs, and we expect that the additional material that we are going to receive is going to contain a similar volume of material. So the material that we have received and will be receiving is extremely voluminous, and it is going to take a lot of time for the government as well as defense counsel to go through that.

So under that provision in the statute, under 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(8), the government does believe that it is justified in asking for this delay until November to receive that material that still remains outstanding.

The second portion of time, it doesn't cover as big of a period of time, but it's not just motions that a defendant files where the time is excluded. It also pertains to motions filed by the government. The government filed this instant

Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022

Page 8

motion on December 17, 2021, so the government believes it is also entitled to a period of excludable delay from the date that this motion was filed in December up until today, or, if the Court takes this matter under advisement, an additional 30 days from today, and the provision of the statute that authorizes that is 18 United States Code 3161(h)(1)(D) and (h)(1)(H).

There are also several reasons that the government's request to exclude the outstanding periods of delay -- they should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act because the ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial are as follows:

The first one being the most obvious is the Court's previous orders, including the July 2, 2020, Administrative Order 20-039 suspending jury trials as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic where the Court made a finding that the ends of justice outweighed the best interests in the public and the defendants in speedy trials during that period of time from July 2, 2020, until further order of the court.

The second reason, and the government addressed this in its motion, is the government believes and is asking this Court to designate this a complex case under 18 United States Code 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), which allows designation of a case as complex when it is so unusual or complex due to the nature of the prosecution or the number of the defendants.

Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022

Page 9

While we do anticipate adding additional defendants to this case, we don't think that the number will be so voluminous as to have this case deemed complex under there, but there is the nature of the prosecution in this case as well as the voluminous discovery material.

Mr. Fink in his response argued that voluminous discovery standing alone cannot be enough to declare a case complex. While I may disagree with that, I certainly will indicate to the Court that it's not just the amount of discovery alone in this case. I would cite to the Court the *United States v. Lewis*, 611 F.3d 1171, a Ninth Circuit case from 2013, where the Court indicated that a case can be designated as a complex case in part on the calculus of the amount of discovery material that needs to be reviewed.

In this case, as we indicated in our motion, as we have advised Mr. Fink throughout this, the government is going to be proceeding and seeking an indictment charging the defendant with a Title 46 violation which involves drug trafficking, a conspiracy to traffic drugs in international waters. We were waiting on consent from five countries to proceed with that prosecution. We have now obtained consent from three of those, and we expect an indictment charging Mr. Didani with an additional offense within the next 30 days.

That is a case that at least my research tells me, although it is regularly charged in border or port districts,

Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022

Page 10

border oceans, such as the Eleventh District -- or Eleventh Circuit in Florida, the Ninth Circuit, it has not been something that has been litigated in this district. So I believe that the nature of the prosecution as well as the amount of discovery entitles -- or it makes this case appropriate for a complex case designation.

Even if the Court disagrees and views that this is not a complex case, the Speedy Trial Act, specifically

18 United States Code 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), allows a period of adjournment if a case is not deemed to be complex when the failure to grant a continuance would deny the government and the defendant a reasonable time for effective preparation.

In this case, and I outlined it in some of the pleadings that we filed, but in this case we anticipate at a minimum this case will take six to eight weeks to try if we go all day five days a week, and I believe that that may be an estimate that is way shorter than the ultimate time necessary because of the volume of evidence in this case.

I say this to the Court because it's not just us that we're looking to protect. We have had this case and have been investigating it for years. Mr. Fink is fairly new to this case, although we're not sure and I don't know, and he can speak on this, whether he would be trial counsel or counsel in New York will end up being the trial counsel.

We also have to guard against ineffective assistance of

Page 11

counsel, and I don't say that I believe Mr. Fink is going to be ineffective because I believe that he's an excellent lawyer, but there is no attorney out there that can get ready to try this case in a short period of time. I have never had a case in the six years that I have been in the office, and part of that was a RICO prosecution of the Seven Mile bloods that there was close to a million pages of discovery, I have never had a case that has had this much discovery in a prosecution.

So I think realistically, I'm not even sure that they would be ready to go by November, but I think that that's an appropriate place as a starting point for the Court to set a trial date. I believe all of the reasons that I have laid out in my brief, in our supplemental reply, as well as what I have placed on the record today, I believe that our requests are appropriate. The times that we have requested should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, and we are asking the Court to schedule the matter no earlier than November of 2022 for trial.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Do you wish to respond?

MR. FINK: Very briefly, Your Honor. Unless you have questions, I'm just going to highlight a few portions.

To make this easy, we agree with regard to the motions that were filed by us that a total of 138 excludable days are proper under the statute. That would include what we think is

Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022

Page 12

May 7 when the motion -- of 2021 when the motion for a bill of particulars was filed until August 19, 2021. That's 104 days of excludable delay.

And then there was a motion for the withdrawal of a prior defense counsel, which was from November 10, 2021, to December 4 -- not 14 -- 4, 2021, which would be 34 days. That's 138 days of excludable delay. That leaves us with a total of, up to today, 158 days of yet-to-be excluded days, for lack of a better term, non-excludable days. The -- which, of course, this Court knows, with the 70-day clock, is 88 days over the Speedy Trial Act requirement.

As to the points made by the government, the one-year rule I think is an interesting one, and it may be moot because I think there are other issues this Court can decide and not have to reach it, but --

I don't know if I'm doing that, Judge. Sorry for the echoing that's being made.

3161(h)(8), which provides for up to one year for the securing of foreign evidence, the rule is discretionary. It's not an automatic one. It's not to exceed one year.

It is unclear to us why the government did not make use of Section 3292, which allows them to pursue this evidence pre-indictment. It is likewise unclear to us why the government did not pursue this evidence immediately upon indictment but instead waited another four months to request

Page 13

it.

So, while I don't know that this issue will be dispositive, I do have concerns of a request for a blanket one-year excludable delay given that the burden is on the government to make this Court comfortable with, number one, that they actually made the request, and I take Mr. Bilkovic at his word that it's been done. However, we still -- it hasn't been filed yet, and I have no objection to the seven days to so file that.

But, in addition, there's an element of discretion for you to decide how much time is appropriate, and I don't see anything in the pleadings that has indicated why the full year is necessary. In fact, it appears we're getting the evidence now. So that is just a minor point, Judge, that, again, I'm not sure would be dispositive, but I wanted to protect the record.

The second thing, Judge, is on the complexity of the case. I think it's premature to designate it a complex case in the sense that once there's a ruling on the bill of particulars and we know precisely what we're defending against and what is connected to what, then we know how much evidence may or may not be necessarily relevant or may be the subject of dispositive motions. I'm not sure yet, but I think that a ruling on that will shed some light on just how complex the case is and how much of the evidence is either extraneous or

Page 14

not because there are some dispositive issues that the defense sees at this point in time that it intends to bring to the Court's attention, which in itself leads to another concern that we have to acknowledge and concede. Because we feel that there are dispositive issues in the case, that will necessitate, provided my client wishes to file those motions, that will necessitate complex motions before this Court which will indeed take time.

As for Title 46 and the need for the government to have time to properly pursue that and their intent to do that, once again, this is nothing to impugn the word of the government because so far everything has been very straightforward in what they have shared with me. However, it still hasn't been brought, and there are issues that we have with that.

So there's a balancing act here, Judge. There is a lot of discovery. Everything Mr. Bilkovic said with regard to that has been represented to me. There is voluminous discovery. That will take time. However, as is my client's right, he is invoking his right to a speedy trial, and we believe that the grounds have not been laid to exclude any additional time and certainly not on the basis of the briefs as constituted.

Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BILKOVIC: Your Honor, can I just respond to one point that Mr. Fink made?

Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022 Page 15 1 THE COURT: You may respond, yes. 2 MR. BILKOVIC: Thank you. 3 THE COURT: But before you do I want to ask him a 4 Well, maybe I want to ask you first. When will auestion. 5 Mr. Fink have all of the discovery? 6 MR. BILKOVIC: We anticipate, excluding Jencks, we 7 anticipate having all of the Sky material that we received 8 turned over to Mr. Fink in the next two days, and I will also 9 say that we have already provided a voluminous amount of 10 discovery, the previous iCloud dumps, electronic evidence, 11 search warrants and things of that nature. The problem was 12 that when we provided it, we provided it to previous counsel, 13 who did not back it up I guess in the manner that they needed 14 to, so we had to re-provide it to Mr. Fink, and we have done 15 that. 16 MR. FINK: That's accurate, Judge. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So you think you are going to have 18 it to him by the beginning of March? 19 MR. BILKOVIC: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So like March 3rd? I think that's 21 the day following -- the Monday following the 28th; right? 22 (Discussion held off the record.) 23 MR. BILKOVIC: We can have it two weeks from today. 24 THE COURT: Two weeks from today would be? 25 MR. BILKOVIC: February 25th.

Page 16

THE COURT: February 25th, okay. February 25th to provide the rest of discovery, and you think you need how long after that?

MR. FINK: Particularly as to what, Judge? As to motions or how long with what?

THE COURT: To prepare for trial.

MR. FINK: Judge, that's a very difficult question
for me to answer, and I apologize that --

THE COURT: How about to review the discovery?

MR. FINK: It will take a substantial amount of time if it is, as represented by the government, as voluminous as it is. Please be mindful, Judge, and I apologize that I can't be the person to necessarily say with certainty, that there are two lawyers in New York who are lead trial counsel on this. However, I don't disagree -- I simply can't -- that there isn't a substantial amount of documents that's been represented to me.

My client's wishes, it's understandable. He is incarcerated, and when you feel that you are incarcerated wrongfully, you obviously have views of how fast you would like to be heard. So I hear that and I'm sympathetic to it, and that's why I'm invoking these rights unequivocally, but I also have to balance that with being an ethical and effective counselor. And if it's true that there is this much in terms of discovery, I don't see how any lawyer could provide

Page 17

effective assistance without adequate time, but that has to be balanced against my client's right not to be held for a crime that he says he didn't commit.

THE COURT: Okay. Now you may reply.

MR. BILKOVIC: Thank you, Your Honor. And just briefly I want to indicate to the Court, as I have indicated to the Court and I indicated in the motion, the discovery that we're going to be turning over with respect to the Sky is what we have right now. We do anticipate receiving additional discovery from additional PINs hopefully within the next 60 days. Once we do receive that, we will also, likewise, turn that around and get copies of that to Mr. Fink within a couple weeks of receiving that information.

I just want to make it clear to the Court that we don't have everything right now, which is part of the reason why I believe that under the statute for evidence from a foreign country we are entitled to a period of excludable delay.

And just briefly touching on Mr. Fink's point, and it will be addressed further in the declaration that we file, but there is a provision that allows us to seek this pre-indictment.

However, Mr. Didani was indicted on April 28, 2021. Law enforcement did not become aware that there was the Sky ECC information potentially available in this case pertaining to Mr. Didani until May 20, 2021. So it would not have been possible for us to do that because we did not know that the

Page 18

information was available with the French government.

And keep in mind, Your Honor, this is -- and I'll lay it out a little bit more in the declaration when we file it, but it's not simply our office sends a request to the French government. There are channels we have to go through, going through the State Department, and that process takes a while, and we did it as fast as we could. And then we waited on the State Department to do what they did, but we didn't find out conclusively until May 20 of 2021 that there was potential information pertaining to Mr. Didani's communications in the Sky material that was seized.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FINK: Your Honor, my client is asking me that I clarify one point on the record. I think Mr. Bilkovic's last word is important, conclusively, because the discovery that we have received does indicate knowledge of the existence of Sky ECC long before the indictment. I think what Mr. Bilkovic is saying is whether that could be recovered or not was the discovery, but my client wants the Court to be aware that that was mentioned at least as early as 2018 in the discovery.

THE COURT: Okay. 2018?

MR. BILKOVIC: We had evidence in 2018 that
Mr. Didani was utilizing Sky ECC to communicate. However, we
were not aware until May of 2021 that the French government,
the information that they seized contained communications of

Page 19

Mr. Didani's.

The Sky ECC, it's a gigantic network that is basically tied to PINs and not names so it took a long time from the time that the French government seized that information for them to even make it public and then for our law enforcement to be able to work with French law enforcement to determine whether or not they even had information pertaining to the PINs that we had associated — the identifications that we had associated with Mr. Didani.

MR. FINK: Kind of the problem with this argument, Chief, is -- Chief Judge Hood, is that I think that's why the statute suggests there can be a record made about these efforts because Belgium was the original country that even seized Sky ECC. So a lot of this is unclear, and that's not to say that I don't trust Mr. Bilkovic's word, but in terms of providing to this Court comfort that one year is necessary or that the channels were properly followed, I think you would need a hearing or a declaration, which I understand is forthcoming, but this doesn't exactly square, and I can't rebut it unless I have a record to rebut.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's fine. Let's start by having you file that declaration. You said you could file it in a week, February 18?

MR. BILKOVIC: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And then I would also say that you

	Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022
	Page 20
1	are going to provide the discovery within two weeks. That
2	would be March 7th, wouldn't it?
3	MR. FINK: I think that would be three.
4	MR. BILKOVIC: April 25th.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
6	MR. FINK: February 25th.
7	MR. BILKOVIC: April 25th.
8	MR. FINK: February 25th.
9	MR. BILKOVIC: February. Why do I keep saying April?
10	February 25th.
11	THE COURT: February 25th for that discovery?
12	MR. BILKOVIC: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Okay. February 25th. And you will look
14	at it, and when will you be able to tell me about how much you
15	think it is, Mr. Fink?
16	MR. FINK: In a supplement, Judge, about how much it
17	contains or our agreement as to how much it contains? I can do
18	that relatively quickly by, excuse me, by observing simply how
19	much data there is. So I suppose we could jointly file an
20	agreement as to how much it is, if I am understanding the Court
_	agreement as to now much it is, if I am understanding the court
21	correctly.
21	correctly.
21 22	correctly.  THE COURT: I want to be able to talk to you about

	Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022
	Page 21
1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. FINK: I'll always take more time, to be safe, if
3	we do two weeks, to make sure that I have and understand and
4	uploaded it correctly.
5	THE COURT: And then the two weeks, would that be
6	March what?
7	THE CLERK: It would be March 11th.
8	THE COURT: Okay. March 11th. Can you come back
9	here then?
10	MR. FINK: Anything for you, Judge.
11	MR. BILKOVIC: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Can they come back, Ms. Saulsberry, on
13	March 11th?
14	No. Is that a Friday?
15	THE CLERK: That's a Friday.
16	THE COURT: No, they can't come on that date. Do you
17	want to come sometime after that next Tuesday?
18	THE CLERK: They can come in March the
19	THE COURT: I didn't hear what day.
20	THE CLERK: I'm looking. March the 16th they can
21	come in.
22	THE COURT: Does that sound good to you?
23	MR. FINK: Unfortunately, Judge, I'm scheduled to be
24	out of town with my wife for those few days. I don't think
25	she'll be happy.
	21-20264; U.S.A. v. Ylli Didani

	Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022
	Page 22
1	If there's something before or after that. I'll be gone
2	until it looks like the 16th to the 20th. I apologize.
3	THE COURT: Of March?
4	MR. FINK: Of March, correct.
5	THE CLERK: We can do March the 14th. That's a
6	Monday.
7	MR. FINK: I can do that.
8	THE COURT: Do you want to do that? March in the
9	afternoon.
10	MR. FINK: $3/14$ in the afternoon.
11	THE CLERK: 3 o'clock.
12	THE COURT: No, later.
13	THE CLERK: 4 o'clock.
14	THE COURT: Yeah, 4:00 p.m. Okay?
15	Does that work for the Government, too?
16	MR. BILKOVIC: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay. That will be your status
18	conference on that, okay?
19	MR. FINK: Thank you for accommodating that, Judge.
20	THE COURT: Anything else we need to take up today?
21	I'll wait for your declaration then. I'll give you an
22	order on the speedy trial issue. Is that all right?
23	MR. BILKOVIC: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes.
24	THE COURT: Do you want time to respond to that?
25	MR. FINK: To the declaration? If I could have an
	21-20264; U.S.A. v. Ylli Didani

	Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay
	Friday, February 11, 2022 Page 23
1	optional response.
2	THE COURT: Why don't you do this. When you get it,
3	if you need time to respond March 7 is what day of the week?
4	THE CLERK: March 7 is a Monday.
5	THE COURT: Yeah. Can you let us know by Wednesday?
6	MR. FINK: I sure can, Judge.
7	THE COURT: Just notify the government and
8	Ms. Saulsberry that you want to respond, and then we'll work
9	out a date for you. Is that agreeable to the government?
10	MR. BILKOVIC: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. FINK: Thank you very much.
13	THE COURT: Your client is trying to raise his hand,
14	but maybe he wants to speak to you first.
15	MR. FINK: No, Judge.
16	THE COURT: You didn't want to say something?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No, no, Your Honor, no. I thought
18	you wanted me to get up. No.
19	THE COURT: Oh, no, no, no, no. I will tell you
20	if I want you to get up. I'm letting everyone stay at the
21	tables if you feel more comfortable while we still have these
22	COVID numbers that, even though they are coming down, they're
23	still high. I want everyone to be safe, okay?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to take
	21-20264; U.S.A. v. Ylli Didani

```
Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay
                        Friday, February 11, 2022
                                                     Page 24
 1
     up today?
 2
               MR. BILKOVIC: No, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Then thank you for your arguments,
 4
     and I'll see you in March, okay?
 5
               MR. FINK:
                           Thank you very much, Your Honor.
 6
               MR. BILKOVIC: Everyone have a nice weekend.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Court is in
 8
     recess.
 9
          (Proceedings concluded at 4:23 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                     21-20264; U.S.A. v. Ylli Didani
```

Hrg on M/Sched Trial Date and Exclude Periods of Delay Friday, February 11, 2022 Page 25 CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcription of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 5/8/2024 Sheri K. Ward Date Official Court Reporter 21-20264; U.S.A. v. Ylli Didani